



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,504	07/21/2003	Satoshi Seo	12732-160001	4688
26171	7590	04/24/2006	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			GARRETT, DAWN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	

DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

CM

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,504	SEO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dawn Garrett	1774	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Dawn Garrett.

(3) _____.

(2) John Hayden.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Dawn Garrett

**DAWN GARRETT
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicant's representative to clarify the status of the Office action mailed on April 12, 2006. The cover sheet of the Office action is in error and should have been marked "Final" rather than "Non-final". The finality of the Office action is set forth in paragraph 5 of the Office action. The examiner stated that an interview summary would be mailed to applicant to clarify the status of the April 12, 2006 Office action on the record.